# Phase I study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of rivoceranib (apatinib) and nivolumab in patients with unresectable or metastatic cancer

Sant P Chawla<sup>1</sup>, Victoria S Chua<sup>1</sup>, Erlinda M Gordon<sup>1</sup>, Ania Moradkhani<sup>1</sup>, Kelly Wang<sup>1</sup>, Jiyeun Kim<sup>2</sup>, Bumjin Kim<sup>2</sup>, Arlo N McGinn<sup>2</sup>, Cheol Hee Park<sup>2</sup>, Scott Houston<sup>2</sup>, Neil Sankar<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Sarcoma Oncology Research Center, Santa Monica, CA; <sup>2</sup>LSK BioPartners, Inc (dba LSK BioPharma), Salt Lake City, UT

# BACKGROUND

18

- Recently, clinical activities of anti-angiogenic tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) combined with immunotherapies have been demonstrated in multiple tumor types.
- Monotherapy of nivolumab (NIV), a PD-1 monoclonal antibody, has not shown a notable tumor response for sarcoma.<sup>1,2</sup>
- Rivoceranib (RIV), also known as apatinib in China, is a highly-selective TKI targeting VEGFR-2.<sup>3</sup>
- In China, based on a Phase III study, apatinib was approved for late-stage gastric cancer in 2014, and investigated for many other tumor types including non-small cell lung cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma.<sup>4</sup>
- Globally, clinical activities of RIV monotherapy is being investigated in a Phase III gastric cancer trial (ANGEL).<sup>5</sup>
- The potential benefit of RIV + NIV combination therapy has been demonstrated in preclinical murine lung carcinoma syngeneic models, in which the combination significantly increased anti-tumor activities of individual therapies.<sup>6</sup>
- Preliminary results of a Phase I study of RIV + NIV in subjects with unresectable / metastatic cancer is presented within this poster.

### METHODS

### Study Design

- This is an ongoing, open-label, phase I study of RIV + NIV in unresectable or metastatic cancer.
- Subjects who were tolerating at least 3 doses of NIV therapy received RIV in combination with NIV at 240 mg q2w iv.
- Dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs) were assessed during the first cycle for the evaluation of safety and tolerability of the combination therapy in Part 1.
- Once the Recommended Phase II Dose (RP2D) of RIV was determined, additional subjects were enrolled in Part 2.

Figure 1. Study Design of Phase I Study of RIV + NIV in Unresectable or Metastatic Cancer



BOR, best overall response rate; DCR, disease control rate; DDC, duration of disease control; DLT, dose-limiting toxicity; DoR, duration of response; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; EFS, event free survival; (i)RECIST, (immune) Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; IV, intravenously; MDSC, myeloid-derived suppressor cell; MTD, maximum tolerating dose; NIV, nivolumab; RIV, rivoceranib; RP2D, recommended phase II dose; ORR, objective response rate; OS, overall survival; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cell; PFS, Progression-free survival; PO, orally; TTR, time to response

# Primary endpoint

• ORR, BOR, TTR, DoR, DCR, and DDC per RECIST v1.1 and/or iRECIST

Secondary endpoint • OS, EFS, PFS

### Exploratory endpoint

changes in serum cytokines and PBMC & MDSC population

## RESULTS

### **Baseline Characteristics**

Table 1. Subject Baseline Characteristics				
	RIV + NIV			
Parameter	Part 1 (n=10)	Part 2 (n=11)	Overall (n=21)	
Sex, n (%)				
Male	4 (40.0)	6 (54.5)	10 (47.6)	
Female	6 (60.0)	5 (45.5)	11 (52.4)	
Median age, years (range)	51 (29-76)			
ECOG, n (%)				
0	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
1	10 (100.0)	11 (100.0)	21 (100.0)	
Race, n (%)				
White	8 (80.0)	11 (100.0)	19 (90.5)	
Asian	2 (20.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (9.5)	
Other	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Tumor types, n (%)				
Angiosarcoma	0 (0.0)	1 (9.1)	1 (4.8)	
Cholangiosarcoma	1 (10.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (4.8)	
Chondrosarcoma	1 (10.0)	2 (18.2)	3 (14.3)	
Fibrous histiocytoma	1 (10.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (4.8)	
Gastric cancer	1 (10.0)	1 (9.1)	2 (9.5)	
Leiomyosarcoma	2 (20.0)	4 (36.4)	6 (28.6)	
Liposarcoma	1 (10.0)	1 (9.1)	2 (9.5)	
Malignant spindled and epithelioid sarcoma	1 (10.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (4.8)	
Cervical cancer (squamous cell carcinoma)	1 (10.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (4.8)	
Synovial sarcoma	1 (10.0)	2 (18.2)	3 (14.3)	
Prior lines of therapy, n (%)				
≤ 1	2 (20.0)	4 (36.4)	6 (28.6)	
2	3 (30.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (14.3)	
3	3 (30.0)	4 (36.4)	7 (33.3)	
4	2 (20.0)	3 (27.3)	5 (23.8)	
ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; NIV, nivolu	ımab; RIV, rivoceranib	)		
<u>Safety</u>				
<ul> <li>In the first 3 subjects who received 400 ma RIV starting dose in Part 1.1 DI T</li> </ul>				

• 21 subjects were enrolled at the time of data cutoff, Feb 19, 2019.

• 12 subjects (3 in Part 1, and 9 in Part 2) are still undergoing study treatment.

• 9 subjects have discontinued treatment due to disease progression (n=5), adverse events (n=3), and death (n=1).

was identified (hypertension) and 1 subject did not complete the DLT period.

• In the next 300 mg RIV dose level, 1 DLT was reported in 6 subjects: 300 mg RIV was determined as the RP2D.

 There was 1 fatal adverse event (pulseless electrical activity) during the study: ' death was considered unrelated to the study treatments.

• There were no serious adverse events related to the study treatments.

Table 2. Summary of TEAEs				
	RIV + NIV			
Parameter, n (%)	Part 1 (n=10)	Part 2 (n=11)	Overall (n=21)	
TEAEs	10 (100.0)	11 (100.0)	21 (100.0)	
Treatment-related TEAEs	10 (100.0)	8 (72.7)	18 (85.7)	
TEAEs ≥ grade 3	5 (50.0)	3 (27.3)	8 (38.1)	
Serious AEs	2 (20.0)	1 (9.1)	3 (14.3)	
Fatal AEs	1 (10.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (4.8)	
Dose modifications				
RIV or NIV dose interruptions due to AEs	6 (60.0)	3 (27.3)	9 (42.9)	
RIV dose reductions due to AEs	3 (30.0)	3 (27.3)	6 (28.6)	
Discontinuation of RIV or NIV due to AEs	3 (30.0)	1 (9.1)	4 (19.0)	
AE, adverse event; NIV, nivolumab; RIV, rivoceranib; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event;				

Table 3. Most Common TEAEs by Preferred Term and Grade*				
Dreferred term $n (0/)$	RIV + NIV (n=21)			
Preferred term, n (%)	Any grade	Grade ≥ 3		
Hypertension	12 (57.1)	3 (14.3)		
Headache	9 (42.9)	2 (9.5)		
Blood TSH increased	7 (33.3)	0 (0.0)		
Palmar-plantar erythrodysesthesia syndrome	7 (33.3)	0 (0.0)		
Abdominal pain	6 (28.6)	2 (9.5)		
Back pain	5 (23.8)	1 (4.8)		
Nausea	5 (23.8)	0 (0.0)		
Urinary tract infection	5 (23.8)	0 (0.0)		
Fatigue	5 (23.8)	0 (0.0)		
Diarrhea	4 (19.0)	0 (0.0)		
Vomiting	4 (19.0)	0 (0.0)		
Abdominal pain upper	3 (14.3)	0 (0.0)		
Gingival pain	3 (14.3)	0 (0.0)		
Lipase increased	2 (9.5)	1 (4.8)		
Decreased appetite	2 (9.5)	0 (0.0)		
Neutropenia	2 (9.5)	1 (4.8)		
Constipation	2 (9.5)	0 (0.0)		
Dysuria	2 (9.5)	0 (0.0)		
Musculoskeletal stiffness	2 (9.5)	0 (0.0)		
Arthralgia	2 (9.5)	0 (0.0)		
Oropharyngeal pain	2 (9.5)	0 (0.0)		
*Occurring in >2 subjects with an any-grade TEAE in the overall subject population				

\*Occurring in ≥2 subjects with an any-grade TEAE in the overall subject population. NIV, nivolumab; RIV, rivoceranib; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone

### **Efficacy**

- 13 subjects who had at least 2 tumor scan measurements were included in the efficacy analysis set. Investigator assessment by RECIST was used in the following table and figures.
- A summary of tumor response is shown in Table 4.
- Tumor size changes from baseline are shown in **Figure 2** and **Figure 3**, respectively.
- An estimate of progression-free survival is shown in **Figure 4**. The median duration of progression-free survival has not been reached.

Table 4. Summary of Tumor Response			
Parameter	RIV + NIV		
	Part 1 (n=8)	Part 2 (n=5)	
BOR, n (%)			
CR	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
PR	1 (12.5)	0 (0.0)	
SD	6 (75.0)	4 (80.0)	
PD	1 (12.5)	1 (20.0)	
ORR, n (%)	1 (12.5)	0 (0.0)	
DCR, n (%)	7 (87.5)	4 (80.0)	





